

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/633,484	RIDDER ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Stephen L. Rawlings	1643	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Stephen L. Rawlings. (3) _____.

(2) Viola T. Kung, Ph.D.. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 December 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

33, 36, 37, 39, 40, 55-60, and 62

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner proposed entry of an examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance; Dr. Kung authorized the Examiner to proceed with the amendment.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)